Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, February 6, 2015

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

TABLE OF QUESTIONS

I.	Frequently Asked Questions:	1
Α	If I have questions about this funding announcement, who do I contact?	1
В	. How will I receive a response to questions submitted to arpa-e-co@hq.doe.gov about this FOA?	1
С	Will ARPA-E post a response to every question submitted to arpa-e-co@hq.doe.gov?	1
D). If I have questions about ARPA-E exchange, who do I contact?	1
E 0	. Can I speak or meet with the ARPA-E program director or other ARPA-E personnel about this funding pportunity announcement?	
F	. Can a person be PI on one proposal and a Co-PI on a second separate proposal?	2
G	6. May applicants submit more than one concept paper to this funding opportunity?	2
H re	l. I have developed a technology that may be a good fit for this funding opportunity. Will ARPA-E please eview my idea and let me know if it is responsive to this FOA?	
I.	Are foreign entities eligible to apply to this FOA?	2
J.	. Are individuals eligible to apply to this FOA?	2
K	. Are we required to register for the Teaming List for this FOA?	2
L	. I missed the last Concept Paper deadline. Can I still submit a Full Application?	2
	I. Our project team includes several team members. Does each team member need to contribute ost share equally?	
N	. Can you tell me whether my project team qualifies for reduced cost share?	3
	Will in-kind contributions count towards meeting our cost share requirements?	
P c p	We have a question concerning the impact of a large business seeking patent rights under a lass waiver if our team qualifies for reduced cost share of 10%. Does this mean the entire team roject is subject to 20% cost share or only the large business' portion of the project will be subject 20% cost share?	ct
	e. Can you tell us whether our project team qualifies for reduced cost share based on the followin cenario: []?	
R p	. How should we include references in our Full Application? Do they count towards the overall age limitation for the Technical Volume?	4
R	6. Our team originally submitted a Concept Paper that listed [organization name 1] as the Prime lecipient. For our Full Application, can we change the lead organization to **** [organization name] instead?	
T	. Can I include new Co-PIs and/or sub-recipients in my Full Application?	4
	l. My Concept Paper was encouraged. What are my chances of being selected for award egotiations by ARPA-E?	4
	. Do sub-recipients also need to fill out the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form or is this lled out only by the Prime Recipient?	4
II.	Questions for week ending: October 3, 2014	4





Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, February 6, 2015

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

TABLE OF QUESTIONS

	and FOA page 1 "November 3, 2014". Please confirm Concept Paper Submission Deadline is November 10, 2014	
	Q2. Can ARPA-E make their LCOE model available for public use? Where can we find it?	1
	Q3. What are the assumptions and methodologies for their LCOE model?	5
	Q4. Is the cost of \$50/kW for insulation cost plus material or component cost only? Does it include operation cost? If it does, what are the key assumptions of electricity cost/kWhr and other assumptions?	5
	Q5. We have developed a **** and would like to know if our technology will qualify for ARID funding? Our technology involves an ****. Would this type of solution qualify for ARID consideration?	5
Ш	. Questions for week ending: October 10, 2014	5
	Q6. A. Would technologies that lead to significant reduction in water loss (> 75% reduction in water loss, compared to present day cooling towers), and have other significant benefits, be considered non-responsive or are such solutions acceptable to ARPA-E?	
	Q14.B The same enabling technology can significantly benefit the steam condenser and result in compact, lightweight, low maintenance condensers. Would it be acceptable to propose technology development that affects steam condensers to reduce water losses substantially?	
	Q15. Is the " COP_{cool} " metric ($COP_{cool} > 2$ on page 23) for a single-effect sorption system or will multi-effect systems that meet this metric be considered?	3
	Q16. I have a question in regards to submission of Concept Papers for the ARID FOA. Can ideas on both Category 1 & 2 be proposed in a single Concept Paper or will such a submission be considered non-responsive? In other words, if we have ideas for both Category 1 and 2 (that may provide an integrated solution) should we submit two Concept Papers?	õ
I۱	. Questions for week ending: October 17, 20146	ò
	Q17. Does this FOA consider solutions that are only applicable to large centralized power production?6	3
	Q18. Will making use of non-potable water sources (vs. using freshwater) be considered?	7
٧	•	
٧	l. Questions for week ending: October 31, 20147	7
	Q19. We would like a clarification on the definition of " COP_{cool} " for a Sorption/Desorption system on page 23 of the document. Specifically, what does the term " $Q_{heat,in}$ " include?	
	Q20. Is the cost of \$50/kw for installation cost plus material, or is it only for component cost?	7
	Q21.A. In category 2B of the FOA, does the cost requirement of \$150/kWth include the cool storage cost?.7	7
	Q21.B. Why is the cost requirement (\$150/kWth) the same for Category 2B and Category 2C of the FOA when the cost target for Category 2B is for multimode cooling plus cool storage and the cost target for Category 2C is for a standalone cool storage system?	3
	Q22. If we propose an enabling technology for existing direct air-cooled condensers to Category 3, should we consider the cost for our proposed enabling technology only when determining the capital cost of the system?	3



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, February 6, 2015

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

TABLE OF QUESTIONS

Q23. Should I provide a quantitative	number for the capital cost of the system in the concept paper? 8
Q24. Would a **** qualify for this fun	ding opportunity?8
	at would not be enabling for power plant cooling systems, but that would bower production?
include radiative heat flux, q" > 100 v	convection/radiative) cooling plus storage (page 24) the target metrics 1/m^2. What temperature change from the ambient (i.e. Delta t) does
the steam surface condenser. After	he cooling tower configuration seems to exclude the capital costs for adding the cost for the condenser, the total cooling system cost would luation and associated targets?
size Qcool," are concept papers requ	ical target 3.3 in Section I.E. of the FOA, "Prototype cooling capacity ired to show that the proposing team will achieve a prototype of this project?
VII. Questions for week ending: Ja	nuary 16, 201510
Q29. Is ARPA-E aware of any non-fo	ederal sources of cost share that may be available for ARID applicants?



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

I. FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS:

A. If I have questions about this funding announcement, who do I contact?

ANSWER: Please see the FOA guidance on submitting FOA content questions and response publication. Applicants may submit questions regarding this ARPA-E's Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA) to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>. All emails must include the FOA name and number in the subject line. The cover page and Executive Summary of the Funding Opportunity Announcement state the deadlines for submitting questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>.

B. How will I receive a response to questions submitted to arpa-e-co@hq.doe.gov about this FOA?

ANSWER: Responses are posted in the "Current Funding Opportunities FAQs" section of ARPA-E's website available at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=faq/current-funding-opportunities. In addition, general questions about ARPA-E can be found at http://arpa-e.energy.gov/?q=faq/general-questions.

ARPA-E will post responses on a weekly basis to questions that are received. ARPA-E will cease to accept questions approximately 5 business days in advance of each submission deadline. Responses to questions received before the cutoff will be posted approximately one business day in advance of the submission deadline. ARPA-E may re-phrase questions or consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

C. Will ARPA-E post a response to every question submitted to arpa-e-co@hq.doe.gov?

ANSWER: No. ARPA-E will only post responses to questions that have not already been addressed by a published FAQ. Also, ARPA-E may consolidate similar questions for administrative purposes.

D. If I have questions about ARPA-E exchange, who do I contact?

ANSWER: Applicants may submit questions regarding ARPA-E's online application portal, ARPA-E eXCHANGE, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov. All emails must include the name and number of the Funding Opportunity Announcement in the subject line.

E. Can I speak or meet with the ARPA-E program director or other ARPA-E personnel about this funding opportunity announcement?

ANSWER: No. Upon the issuance of this Funding Opportunity Announcement (FOA), ARPA-E Program Directors and other ARPA-E personnel are prohibited from communicating (in writing or otherwise) with Applicants, or potential Applicants, regarding the FOA. This "quiet period" remains in effect until ARPA-E's public announcement of its project selections. During the "quiet period," Applicants may submit questions regarding the FOA to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov with the FOA name and number in the subject line. Applicants may also submit questions regarding ARPA-E's online application portal, ARPA-E eXCHANGE, to ExchangeHelp@hq.doe.gov with the FOA name and number in the subject line. ARPA-E will not accept or respond to communications received by other means (e.g., fax, telephone, mail, hand delivery). Emails sent to other email addresses will be disregarded.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

F. Can a person be PI on one proposal and a Co-PI on a second separate proposal?

ANSWER: Yes, an individual may be on more than one submission – either as a lead or member of a Project Team.

G. May applicants submit more than one concept paper to this funding opportunity?

ANSWER: Yes, but each Concept Paper must be "scientifically distinct". This term is used in Section III.C.3 (Limitation on Number of Applications) of the FOA. In this context, the term "scientifically distinct" is used to emphasize that, in the event an Applicant intends to submit multiple concept papers/application, the applicant should propose distinct technical approaches in each application. This prohibition on duplicative applications involves a fact-based determination by ARPA-E to ensure a focused review of each technical concept, and appropriate use of ARPA-E's limited time/resources.

H. I have developed a technology that may be a good fit for this funding opportunity. Will ARPA-E please review my idea and let me know if it is responsive to this FOA?

ANSWER: ARPA-E will consider concepts that propose to meet or exceed the technical performance targets set forth in Section I.E (Technical Performance Targets) of the FOA and do not fall under Section I.F (Applications Specifically Not of Interest) of the FOA. Applicants must review the technical requirements of the FOA and independently determine whether their proposed concept warrants a submission.

I. Are foreign entities eligible to apply to this FOA?

ANSWER: Foreign entities are eligible to apply for funding. See Section III.A.3 (Eligibility Information- Foreign Entities) of the FOA. However, if the project is selected for award negotiations and an award is made, all work must be performed in the United States by subsidiaries or affiliates incorporated in the United States or U.S. territories, unless ARPA-E grants a foreign work waiver to allow performance of part of the work outside of the United States. ARPA-E's grant of a foreign work waiver is a fact dependent, case-by-case determination that is made only in exceptional circumstances and only for discrete parts of an award that necessitate foreign work. Applicants that anticipate the need for a foreign work waiver to perform some work outside of the U.S. should review Section 5 of the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form.

J. Are individuals eligible to apply to this FOA?

ANSWER: Yes. Individuals are eligible to apply for funding. See Section III.A (Eligibility Information) of the FOA. However, any ARPA-E award funding would need to be made to a business entity formed by the Applicant, if selected for award negotiations.

K. Are we required to register for the Teaming List for this FOA?

ANSWER: No. ARPA-E set up the Teaming Partner List for this FOA to facilitate formation of new project teams. There is no requirement for applicants or any team member to sign up/register for the Teaming Partner List. In addition, ARPA-E does not endorse or otherwise evaluate the qualifications of the entities that self-identify themselves for placement on the Teaming Partner List.

L. I missed the last Concept Paper deadline. Can I still submit a Full Application?

ANSWER: No. Only applicants who have successfully submitted a Concept Paper in eXCHANGE by the published deadline are eligible to submit a Full Application to the FOA.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

M. Our project team includes several team members. Does each team member need to contribute cost share equally?

ANSWER: Although the cost share requirement applies to the Project Team as a whole, the funding agreement makes the Prime Recipient legally responsible for paying the entire cost share. See Section III.B.4 for more information on cost sharing. Each Project Team is free to determine how much each team member will contribute towards the cost share requirement. The amount contributed by individual Project Team members may vary, so long as the cost share requirement for the project as a whole is met.

N. Can you tell me whether my project team qualifies for reduced cost share?

ANSWER: ARPA-E may not provide pre-submission assessments on a project team's specific cost sharing requirement.

O. Will in-kind contributions count towards meeting our cost share requirements?

ANSWER: Yes, if the in-kind contribution is determined to be allowable, allocable and reasonable by the ARPA-E Contracting Officer. Since this is necessarily fact determinative inquiry, these types of questions are answered based on a review of all relevant information by the Contracting Officer during award negotiations. For general guidance on acceptable cost share contributions and corresponding cost principles used by the ARPA-E Contracting Officer to make these determinations, see 10 C.F.R § 600.313 and § 600.317 (Cost Matching/Sharing and Cost Principles for For-Profit Organizations), 2 C.F.R. § 200.306 and 2 C.F.R. Part 200, Subpart E (Cost Matching/Sharing and Cost Principles for Institutes of Higher Education, Hospitals, Other Nonprofit Organizations, State and Local Governments).

P. We have a question concerning the impact of a large business seeking patent rights under a class waiver if our team qualifies for reduced cost share of 10%. Does this mean the entire team project is subject to 20% cost share or only the large business' portion of the project will be subject to 20% cost share?

ANSWER: Per Section II.B.3 (Reduced Cost Share Requirement) of the FOA, under this scenario, only the large business' portion of the work under this scenario is subject to the 20% minimum cost share requirement. Please see Section III.B.3 (Reduced Cost Share Requirement), Section III.B.4 (Legal Responsibility), and Section III.B.5 (Cost Share Allocation) of the FOA for more details on the cost sharing requirements. NOTE: If the large business' portion of Total Project Costs is greater than 20%, then the project team's total cost share minimum is 20% since the team as a whole would no longer qualify for the 80/20 labor distribution for reduced cost share, per Section III.B.3 of the FOA.

Q. Can you tell us whether our project team qualifies for reduced cost share based on the following scenario: []?

ANSWER: ARPA-E may not provide pre-submission assessments on a project team's specific cost sharing requirement.

CHANGING WHAT'S POSSIBLE

DE-FOA-001197 - ARID

Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

R. How should we include references in our Full Application? Do they count towards the overall page limitation for the Technical Volume?

ANSWER: Applicants may provide a list of references in a separate bibliography. Only bibliographic information may be contained in the references, and no additional text or commentary should be included. There is no page limit for the bibliographic references section of the Full Application.

S. Our team originally submitted a Concept Paper that listed [organization name 1] as the Prime Recipient. For our Full Application, can we change the lead organization to **** [organization name 2] instead?

ANSWER: Yes, the ARPA-E eXCHANGE system will allow applicants to expand or otherwise modify the Project Team for their Full Applications.

T. Can I include new Co-PIs and/or sub-recipients in my Full Application?

ANSWER: Yes. Applicants may expand or otherwise modify the Project Team for their Full Applications.

U. My Concept Paper was encouraged. What are my chances of being selected for award negotiations by ARPA-E?

ANSWER: ARPA-E does not provide pre-submission assessments of Applicants' likelihood to receive funding.

V. Do sub-recipients also need to fill out the Business Assurances & Disclosures Form or is this filled out only by the Prime Recipient?

ANSWER: The Business Assurances & Disclosures Form requests information regarding the legal entity submitting the application as the Prime Recipient, the legal entities and/or individuals that are proposed to be Sub-recipients, and the PI/Co-PIs in their individual capacity. The Prime Recipient may submit one Business Assurances & Disclosures Form covering all of the Project Team members if it has authorization and information to answer on their behalf. Alternatively, the Prime Recipient may request Sub-recipients to complete and sign individual Business Assurances & Disclosures Forms that the Prime Recipient will append to its form.

- II. Questions for week ending: OCTOBER 3, 2014
 - Q1. There is a submission deadline discrepancy between FOA-0001197 cover page "November 10, 2014" and FOA page 1 "November 3, 2014". Please confirm Concept Paper Submission Deadline is November 10, 2014.

ANSWER: The Concept Paper submission deadline is November 10, 2014. ARPA-E issued a modification to the FOA on October 1, 2014 to reflect this information.

Q2. Can ARPA-E make their LCOE model available for public use? Where can we find it?

ANSWER: The power plant model was used as an internal tool to calculate performance and LCOE. Note that ARPA-E does not require Concept Papers to make use of the model and instead has specified technical targets in Section I.E (Technical Performance Targets), that support the program objectives specified in Section I.C (Program Objectives).



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

Q3. What are the assumptions and methodologies for their LCOE model?

ANSWER: The relevant assumptions and methodologies for the ARPA-E LCOE model are included in Section C.2, Techno-economic analysis for Indirect Dry-Cooling System (including the associated references) and in Table 1: "Working parameters used in the derivation of technical performance targets" found in Section E.

Q4. Is the cost of \$50/kW for insulation cost plus material or component cost only? Does it include operation cost? If it does, what are the key assumptions of electricity cost/kWhr and other assumptions?

ANSWER: The \$50/kW target for Category 1 technologies is for the capital cost of the technology, including all necessary components (insulation, material, etc). As it is a capital cost target, it does not include operating costs. Keep in mind, however, that one of the objectives of the ARID FOA is that technologies developed should result in less than 5% increase in the levelized cost of electricity. See Section I.C (Program Objectives) and Section I.E (Technical Performance Targets) of the FOA. ARPA-E does not prescribe an electricity cost/kWh to use in cost calculations, but it is recommended that concept papers and/or full applications identify and justify any cost assumptions made.

Q5. We have developed a **** and would like to know if our technology will qualify for ARID funding? Our technology involves an ****. Would this type of solution qualify for ARID consideration?

ANSWER: ARPA-E may not provide a pre-submission assessment regarding the responsiveness of an Applicant's technology or proposed concept. Applicants must review the Technical Categories of Interest specified in Section I.D and Applications Specifically Not of Interest specified in Section I.F of the FOA and independently determine whether their proposed concept warrants a submission to the ARID FOA.

III. Questions for week ending: OCTOBER 10, 2014

Q6. A. Would technologies that lead to significant reduction in water loss (> 75% reduction in water loss, compared to present day cooling towers), and have other significant benefits, be considered non-responsive, or are such solutions acceptable to ARPA-E?

ANSWER: ARPA-E may not provide a pre-submission assessment regarding the responsiveness of an Applicant's technology or proposed concept. Applicants must review the Technical Categories of Interest specified in Section I.D and Applications Specifically Not of Interest specified in Section I.F of the FOA and independently determine whether their proposed concept warrants a submission to the ARID FOA.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

Q14.B The same enabling technology can significantly benefit the steam condenser and result in compact, lightweight, low maintenance condensers. Would it be acceptable to propose technology development that affects steam condensers to reduce water losses substantially?

ANSWER: ARPA-E may not provide a pre-submission assessment regarding the responsiveness of an Applicant's technology or proposed concept. Applicants must review the Applications Specifically Not of Interest specified in Section I.F and Technical Categories of Interest specified in Section I.D of the FOA and independently determine whether their proposed concept warrants a submission to the ARID FOA.

Q15. Is the "COP $_{cool}$ " metric (COP $_{cool}$ > 2 on page 23) for a single-effect sorption system or will multi-effect systems that meet this metric be considered?

ANSWER: The "COP_{cool}" metric applies to all sorption systems, including single and multi-effect systems. Multi-effect systems that meet the relevant technical objectives and targets outlined in the FOA will be considered.

Q16. I have a question in regards to submission of Concept Papers for the ARID FOA. Can ideas on both Category 1 & 2 be proposed in a single Concept Paper or will such a submission be considered non-responsive? In other words, if we have ideas for both Category 1 and 2 (that may provide an integrated solution) should we submit two Concept Papers?

ANSWER: Please see Section I.D (Technical Categories of Interest) of the FOA. Concepts that include the development of technologies across multiple Categories (such as into 1 and 2, as suggested in this question) may be combined into a single paper or split into two (or more) separate Concept Papers. ARPA-E will not recommend an approach - it is up to the applicant to decide the best way to present their concept(s) to ARPA-E. Note that if the development of technologies across multiple Categories is presented in a single Concept Paper, it will be important that the Concept Paper make reference to and meet technical targets across all relevant categories. The proposer should also consider whether or not the combined system will fit best in Category 3.

IV. Questions for week ending: OCTOBER 17, 2014

Q17. Does this FOA consider solutions that are <u>only</u> applicable to large centralized power production?

ANSWER: No. At a minimum, concepts must be applicable to large centralized power production, but ARPA-E is also interested in those that may <u>also</u> be applicable to smaller, decentralized systems see, Section C.5 of the FOA, Scalability and Modularity for Commercialization.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

Q18. Will making use of non-potable water sources (vs. using freshwater) be considered?

ANSWER: Non-potable sources may be used in the system, but note that the program objective regarding no net water dissipation would still apply. As per the relevant language in Section C of the FOA, Program Objectives: "The ARID program seeks to enable the development of transformational power plant cooling technologies that...dissipate no net water to the atmosphere (note that in cases where water vapor is dissipated to the atmosphere, not including surface water evaporation, an equal or greater amount of water vapor must be captured);" The FOA purposefully refers to general "water" dissipation, rather than "freshwater" dissipation. Thus, dissipation of any water (potable, nonpotable, freshwater, seawater, brackish water, reclaimed water, etc.) in the cooling process is viewed to be the same.

V. Questions for week ending: OCTOBER 24, 2014

No new questions received.

VI. Questions for week ending: OCTOBER 31, 2014

Q19. We would like a clarification on the definition of "COP_{cool}" for a Sorption/Desorption system on page 23 of the document. Specifically, what does the term " $Q_{heat,in}$ " include?

ANSWER: Please see ARID FOA Modification 2. The explanation for Section I.E Technical Performance Targets, Subcategory 2A: Sorption/Desorption Cooling System has been updated to clarify COP_{cool} .

Q20. Is the cost of \$50/kw for installation cost plus material, or is it only for component cost?

ANSWER: Please refer to Section I.E of the FOA. The description for the \$50/kw target specifies that the target is for "Capital cost of heat exchanger." Therefore, installation cost should not be included in this calculation.

Q21.A. In category 2B of the FOA, does the cost requirement of \$150/kWth include the cool storage cost?

ANSWER: Yes, the cost requirement for Category 2B in Section I.E of the FOA includes the cool storage cost. In the explanation for Category 2B: Multimode (Convection/Radiative) Cooling Plus Storage, the FOA states, "The cost includes the cost of the full system. If a proposed concept will use a commercially available storage unit or a storage media that does not require development, it should not be included in the development plan, but should be specified and factored into the cost analysis."



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.qov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.qov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

Q21.B. Why is the cost requirement (\$150/kWth) the same for Category 2B and Category 2C of the FOA when the cost target for Category 2B is for multimode cooling plus cool storage and the cost target for Category 2C is for a standalone cool storage system?

ANSWER: The cost target for Category 2C is <u>not</u> for a standalone cool storage system. Please refer to Section I.E of the FOA. In the explanation for Category 2C: Cool Storage System, the FOA states, "The cost includes the cost of the full system, <u>including heat exchangers for charging....</u>" Note that in Category 2B, the multimode convection/radiation cooling unit described is a heat exchanger that charges the storage unit. In Category 2C, the heat exchanger is generalized to allow for other technologies. Therefore, Categories 2B and 2C describe equivalent systems and thus have equivalent cost requirements.

Q22. If we propose an enabling technology for existing direct air-cooled condensers to Category 3, should we consider the cost for our proposed enabling technology only when determining the capital cost of the system?

ANSWER: No. Please refer to Section I.E of the FOA. In the explanation for Category 3, the FOA states, "The cost includes the cost of the full cooling system architecture, including any supplementary cooling systems that might be required. Only the proposed transformative technology should be included in the development plan, but other components and subsystems should be factored into the cost analysis."

Q23. Should I provide a quantitative number for the capital cost of the system in the concept paper?

ANSWER: Yes. As stated in Section IV.C., Subsection 2 of the FOA, "To the extent possible, provide quantitative metrics in a table that compares the proposed technology concept to current and emerging technologies and to the technical performance targets in Section I.E of the FOA for the appropriate Technology Category in Section I.D of the FOA."

Q24. Would a **** qualify for this funding opportunity?

ANSWER: ARPA-E will consider concepts that propose to meet or exceed the technical performance targets set forth in Section I.E (Technical Performance Targets) of the FOA and do not fall under Section I.F (Applications Specifically Not of Interest) of the FOA. Applicants must review the technical requirements of the FOA and independently determine whether their proposed concept warrants a submission.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

Q25. Can I propose a technology that would not be enabling for power plant cooling systems, but that would result in significant water savings in power production?

ANSWER: Please see the response to questions 13 and 24 above.

Q26. In subcategory 2b: multimode (convection/radiative) cooling plus storage (page 24) the target metrics include radiative heat flux, $q'' > 100 \text{ w/m}^2$. What temperature change from the ambient (i.e. Delta t) does the specified heat flux correspond to?

ANSWER: Please refer to Section I.C.4, subsection "Cool Storage" of the FOA, which states, "A radiative cooling system could be envisioned...assuming 30°C water exiting a heat exchanger. ...The sky temperature is approximately -50°C...the maximum theoretical heat flux is approximately 120 W/m2." From this, 100W/m2 was chosen as the target.

Q27. The \$50/kWth capital cost for the cooling tower configuration seems to exclude the capital costs for the steam surface condenser. After adding the cost for the condenser, the total cooling system cost would be higher. Does this change the evaluation and associated targets?

ANSWER: The \$50/kWth in Section I.E of the FOA is the capital cost target for an air-cooled heat exchanger. It is correct that this target does not include the cost of the steam condenser; however, the cost of the steam condenser was included in the technoeconomic analysis of the overall cooling system described in Section I.C.2 of the FOA. This techno-economic analysis was used to determine that \$50/kWth capital cost for the air-cooled heat exchanger would support the objectives of the FOA. Therefore, no change will be made to the evaluation criteria nor to the technical targets of the FOA.

Q28. In regards to Category 3 technical target 3.3 in Section I.E. of the FOA, "Prototype cooling capacity size Qcool," are concept papers required to show that the proposing team will achieve a prototype of this size (20–100 kWth) at the end of the project?

ANSWER: Yes. Concepts proposed under Category 3 in Section I.E. of the FOA must propose a prototype at the following scale: Qcool = 20–100 kWth. See also Section IV.C. of the FOA for concept paper content requirements.



Questions can be sent to ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov

First Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>: 5 PM ET, NOVEMBER 3, 2014 Second Deadline for Questions to <u>ARPA-E-CO@hq.doe.gov</u>5 PM ET, FEBRUARY 6, 2015

VII. Questions for week ending: JANUARY 16, 2015

Q29. Is ARPA-E aware of any non-federal sources of cost share that may be available for ARID applicants?

ANSWER: The California Energy Commission recently amended their funding solicitation to make ARID applicants with connections to California eligible to apply for awards of state funds that may be allowable as cost-share towards certain federal awards. See the following link for CEC funding eligibility and other CEC application requirements:

http://www.energy.ca.gov/contracts/epic.html#PON-14-308.

Please note that the CEC application deadline is January 20th.